

Measuring Triple Gauge Boson Couplings at e^+e^- linear Colliders

Sayipjamal Dulat, Kaoru Hagiwara and Yu Matsumoto

Xinjiang University, China and KEK, Tsukuba, Japan

Measuring Triple Gauge Boson Couplings at e^+e^- linear Colliders

Outline

- Why do we measure triple gauge boson couplings
- Effective lagrangian, dimension 6 operators, and TGC
- Optimal observable method
- $WW\gamma$ couplings via $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e \gamma$
- WWZ couplings via $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e Z$
- Conclusion

- Why do we measure Triple Gauge-boson Couplings
 - Test the non-Abelian nature of the electroweak sector of the SM
 - Probe for new physics effects via the TGC anomaly at ILC

- How ?

Use an Effective Lagrangian of the SM particles

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \sum_i \frac{f_i^{(6)} \mathcal{O}_i^{(6)}}{\Lambda^2} .$$

Λ may characterize the new physics scale, and f_i are the dimensionless coefficients.

There are 8 gauge invariant bosonic dim. 6 operators with the Higgs boson:

| \mathcal{O} | | WW | ZZ | $Z\gamma$ | $\gamma\gamma$ | HH | WWV | HWW | HZZ | $HZ\gamma$ | $H\gamma\gamma$ |
|------------------------|---|------|------|-----------|----------------|------|-------|-------|-------|------------|-----------------|
| \mathcal{O}_{WW} | $\Phi^\dagger \hat{W}_{\mu\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\nu} \Phi$ | – | – | – | – | | – | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| \mathcal{O}_{BB} | $\Phi^\dagger \hat{B}_{\mu\nu} \hat{B}^{\mu\nu} \Phi$ | | – | – | – | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| \mathcal{O}_{BW} | $\Phi^\dagger \hat{B}_{\mu\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\nu} \Phi$ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| \mathcal{O}_W | $(D_\mu \Phi)^\dagger \hat{W}^{\mu\nu} (D_\nu \Phi)$ | | | | | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| \mathcal{O}_B | $(D_\mu \Phi)^\dagger \hat{B}^{\mu\nu} (D_\nu \Phi)$ | | | | | | ✓ | | ✓ | ✓ | ✓ |
| $\mathcal{O}_{\phi,1}$ | $[(D_\mu \Phi)^\dagger \Phi][\Phi^\dagger (D^\mu \Phi)]$ | | ✓ | | | – | | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| $\mathcal{O}_{\phi,4}$ | $(\Phi^\dagger \Phi)[(D_\mu \Phi)^\dagger (D^\mu \Phi)]$ | – | – | | | – | | ✓ | ✓ | | |
| $\mathcal{O}_{\phi,2}$ | $\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu (\Phi^\dagger \Phi) \partial^\mu (\Phi^\dagger \Phi)$ | | | | | – | | ✓ | ✓ | | |

✓ denotes the nonstandard interactions

– denotes the no observable effects after renormalization.

WW γ and WWZ couplings:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{L}_{eff}^{WWV} &= \mathcal{L}_{SM}^{WWV} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \left(f_{BW} \Phi^\dagger \hat{B}_{\mu\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\nu} \Phi + f_{WW} \Phi^\dagger \hat{W}_{\mu\nu} \hat{W}^{\mu\nu} \Phi \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + f_W (D_\mu \Phi)^\dagger \hat{W}^{\mu\nu} (D_\nu \Phi) + f_B (D_\mu \Phi)^\dagger \hat{B}^{\mu\nu} (D_\nu \Phi) \right) \\
 &= -ig_{WWV} \left(g_1^V (W_{\mu\nu}^+ W^{-\mu} - W_{\mu\nu}^- W^{+\mu}) V^\nu + \kappa_V W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- V^{\mu\nu} \right) .
 \end{aligned}$$

$g_{WW\gamma} = e$ and $g_{WWZ} = e \cot \theta_W$.

$$g_1^\gamma = 1 , \quad \kappa_\gamma = 1 + (f_B + f_W) \frac{m_W^2}{2\Lambda^2} , \quad \kappa_Z = 1 + \frac{m_Z^2}{2\Lambda^2} \left(\cos^2 \theta_W f_W - \sin^2 \theta_W f_B \right) , \quad g_1^Z = 1 + f_W \frac{m_Z^2}{2\Lambda^2}$$

We examine how accurately one can measure

$$c_1 \equiv \Delta \kappa_\gamma = \kappa_\gamma - 1 , \quad c_2 \equiv \Delta \kappa_Z = \kappa_Z - 1 , \quad c_3 \equiv \Delta g_1^Z = g_1^Z - 1$$

at ILC.

- Optimal observable method

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \Sigma_{\text{SM}}(\Omega) + \sum_i c_i F_i(\Omega), \quad (c_1 = \kappa_\gamma - 1, \quad c_2 = \kappa_Z - 1, \quad c_3 = g_1^Z - 1)$$

Number of events in the k'th bin of the phase space point Ω_k with the bin size $\Delta\Omega$:

$$\begin{aligned} N_k^{th}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) &= L \Sigma_{\text{SM}}(\Omega_k) \Delta\Omega + L \sum_i c_i F_i(\Omega_k) \Delta\Omega \\ &= N_k^{exp} \pm \sqrt{N_k^{exp}} \end{aligned}$$

Constraints on (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) from the data:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^2(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) &= \sum_k \left(\frac{N_k^{th} - N_k^{exp}}{\sqrt{N_k^{exp}}} \right)^2 = \sum_k \left(\frac{L \Sigma_{\text{SM}}(\Omega_k) + L \sum_i c_i F_i(\Omega_k) \Delta\Omega - N_k^{exp}}{\sqrt{N_k^{exp}}} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_k \left(\frac{L \sum_i c_i F_i(\Omega_k) \Delta\Omega}{\sqrt{L \Sigma_{\text{SM}}(\Omega_k) \Delta\Omega}} \right)^2 \equiv \sum_{i,j} c_i (V^{-1})_{ij} c_j \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\chi^2(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n) &\equiv \sum_{i,j} c_i (V^{-1})_{ij} c_j \\ (V^{-1})_{ij} &= L \int \frac{F_i(\Omega) F_j(\Omega)}{\Sigma_{\text{SM}}(\Omega)} d\Omega \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\end{aligned}$$

V_{ij} is called covariance matrix. The diagonal elements V_{ii} is called variance of c_i . And square root of the variance gives the error of the measurement

$$\Delta c_i = \sqrt{V_{ii}}, \quad \rho_{ij} = \sum_{i,j}^n \frac{V_{ij}}{\sqrt{V_{ii} V_{jj}}}$$

where ρ_{ij} is the correlation between the error on c_i and that on c_j .

- WW γ couplings via $e^-e^+ \rightarrow \nu_e\bar{\nu}_e\gamma$
differential cross section

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dP_T d\eta} = \Sigma_{\text{SM}}(P_T, \eta) + c_1 F_1(P_T, \eta), \quad c_1 = \kappa_\gamma - 1$$

Inverse of the variance of c_1 is

$$(V^{-1})_{11} = L \sum_k \frac{F_1^2(P_T, \eta)_k}{\Sigma_{\text{SM}}(P_T, \eta)_k} \Delta P_T \Delta \eta , \quad \Delta c_1 = \sqrt{V_{11}} ,$$

Background processes

P_T and η distr. of the photon with $|\cos \theta_{e^\pm}| > 0.995$, $|\cos \theta_\gamma| < 0.995$, $\sqrt{s} = 250 GeV$ for

P_T and rapidity distributions of the photon for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu_e\bar{\nu}_e\gamma$

At $\sqrt{s} = 250 GeV$ we obtain

$$\int \Sigma_{SM}(P_T, \eta) dP_T d\eta \approx 5.5 \times 10^4 fb, \quad V^{-1} = L \int \frac{F_1^2(P_T, \eta)}{\Sigma_{SM}(P_T, \eta)} dP_T d\eta \approx L \times 50 fb$$

$$\Delta c_1 = \sqrt{V} \approx 0.14 / \sqrt{L(fb^{-1})}$$

We find for various \sqrt{s} and L :

| $\Delta(\kappa\gamma - 1)$ | $\sqrt{s}(GeV)$ | $L(fb^{-1})$ | <i>colliders</i> |
|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|------------------|
| ~ 1 | 183 | 0.08 | LEP2 |
| 0.014 | 250 | 100 | ILC |
| 0.0090 | 350 | 100 | .. |
| 0.0034 | 500 | 300 | ILC |
| 0.0015 | 1000 | 500 | ILC2 |

Discussion:

We observe that as the cross section increases for higher and higher \sqrt{s} , the error in measuring these coupling parameters becomes smaller and smaller.

- WWZ couplings via $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e Z$

There are two terms in the WWZ couplings : $\kappa_Z - 1 = c_2$, $g_1^Z - 1 = c_3$

$$(V^{-1})_{ij} = L \int \frac{F_i(\Omega) F_j(\Omega)}{\Sigma_{\text{SM}}(\Omega)} d\Omega \quad i, j = 2, 3$$

$$\Delta c_i = \sqrt{V_{ii}} , \quad i = 2, 3 , \quad \rho_{23} = \frac{V_{23}}{\sqrt{V_{22} V_{33}}}$$

P_T and rapidity distributions of Z boson for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu_e\bar{\nu}_e Z$

We find for various \sqrt{s} and L :

| $\Delta(\kappa_Z - 1)$ | $\Delta(g_1^Z - 1)$ | $\rho(\kappa_Z - 1, g_1^Z - 1)$ | $\sqrt{s}(GeV)$ | $L(fb^{-1})$ |
|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| 0.035 | 0.029 | 0.31 | 250 | 100 |
| 0.014 | 0.0099 | 0.33 | 350 | 100 |
| 0.0037 | 0.0024 | 0.32 | 500 | 300 |
| 0.00085 | 0.00044 | 0.31 | 1000 | 500 |

Discussion:

We observe that as the cross section increases for higher and higher \sqrt{s} , the error in measuring these couplings becomes smaller and smaller.

- Conclusion

We obtain the parameters of the TGC's for various \sqrt{s} and L by studying the processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu_e\bar{\nu}_e\gamma$, and $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu_e\bar{\nu}_eZ$

| $\Delta(\kappa_\gamma - 1)$ | $\Delta(\kappa_Z - 1)$ | $\Delta(g_1^Z - 1)$ | $\rho(\kappa_Z - 1, g_1^Z - 1)$ | $\sqrt{s}(GeV)$ | $L(fb^{-1})$ |
|-----------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| 0.014 | 0.035 | 0.029 | 0.31 | 250 | 100 |
| 0.009 | 0.014 | 0.0099 | 0.33 | 350 | 100 |
| 0.0034 | 0.0037 | 0.0024 | 0.32 | 500 | 300 |
| 0.0015 | 0.00085 | 0.00044 | 0.31 | 1000 | 500 |

- These TGC parameters are sensitive to new physics that contribute to the dim-6 operators, \mathcal{O}_W and \mathcal{O}_B .
- $\Delta(\kappa_\gamma - 1) < \kappa_Z - 1$ for $\sqrt{s} \leq 500GeV$
- $\Delta(\kappa_\gamma - 1) > \kappa_Z - 1$ for $\sqrt{s} \leq 1TeV$ because of $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu_e\bar{\nu}_eZ) > \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu_e\bar{\nu}_e\gamma)$ at higher energies.

Electromagnetic gauge invariance requires that $g_1^\gamma = 1$, while the κ_γ , κ_Z and g_1^Z are related to the coefficients of the dimension six operators as

$$\kappa_\gamma - 1 = (f_B + f_W) \frac{m_W^2}{2\Lambda^2}, \quad \kappa_Z - 1 = \frac{m_Z^2}{2\Lambda^2} (\cos^2 \theta_W f_W - \sin^2 \theta_W f_B), \quad g_1^Z - 1 = f_W \frac{m_Z^2}{2\Lambda^2}$$

We find the constraints on f_W and f_B

| Δf_W | Δf_B | $\rho(\kappa_Z - 1, g_1^Z - 1)$ | $\sqrt{s}(GeV)$ | $L(fb^{-1})$ |
|--------------|--------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| 3.1 | 13.5 | -0.08 | 250 | 100 |
| 2.0 | 5.5 | -0.18 | 350 | 100 |
| 0.56 | 1.45 | -0.20 | 500 | 300 |
| 0.11 | 0.34 | -0.22 | 1000 | 500 |

Thank You!